DRIVER FOR FEDEX
Driver for FedEx, 6 months recent verifiable and Class E license required!! Home every night. Must pass background and ...>MORE

RESIDENTIAL STAFF
Residential Staff, Part-time position for evenings, midnights and weekends working with women and children that receive ...>MORE

LOCAL COMPANY SEEKING DRIVERS
Local company seeking experienced, responsible, safe drivers for full-time position. End dump experience and clean dri...>MORE

PAPA JOHN’S PIZZA-WEBB CITY
Papa John’s Pizza-Webb City, Now hiring management positions. Please submit resume in person at 501 S. Madison, Suite 0 ...>MORE

HOUSEKEEPER
Housekeeper 3 p.m. - 9 p.m. Whispering Pines. (417)781-0099. ...>MORE

NEW LOCAL FREIGHT BROKERAGE
NEW LOCAL Freight brokerage needs Lead Broker. We have everything except your contacts. Use our office or yours. 50/50 s...>MORE

See all ads
Featured Transportation

FORD 2000 TAURUS
FORD, 2000 Taurus, 4 door, automatic, loaded with options, 29,000 actual miles, $4600. (417)623-2011....>MORE

FORD 2004 F350 4X4
ford, 2004 F350 4x4 XLT, crew cab, 8’ bed/bedliner, Reese hitch system, 5th wheel gooseneck equalizer hitch, CD/cassette...>MORE

2006 CUSTOM CHOPPER
2006 Custom Chopper, 5 speed Revteck transmission, 90 ci motor, 250 rear tire, 8” stretch in the back bone down and tabe....>MORE

NISSAN 1995 FRONTIER
Nissan, 1995 Frontier, 122,000 miles, 5 speed, air $3250. 417-214-0022 ...>MORE

HARLEY 2005 DYNA GLIDE
HARLEY, 2005 Dyna Wide Glide, black with extra chrome, 2800 miles, original owner, absolutely mint, $15,295. 781-...>MORE

DODGE 1999 VAN
DODGE, 1999 VAN, custom made, very good shape color TV/VCR, CD player, back seat turns in to queen size bed, upholstery ...>MORE

TOYOTA 2003 COROLLA CE
2nd owner, 76,000 miles, great shape. (417) 439-3412....>MORE

FORD 1999 EXPLORER
5.0, V8, 151,200 miles, extras, $3500/offer. 417-396-1269...>MORE

MITSUBISHI 1995 ECLIPSE
full power, loaded, local owner, sharp, $2950 or best offer. (417)626-7303, after 3 p.m....>MORE

JEEP 1982 CJ5 WRANGLER
Jeep, 1982 CJ5 Wrangler, power steering, disc brakes, burgundy with factory chrome package, $5000/offer. (417)495-...>MORE

See all ads
Featured Homes

1 BR, NEWLY REMODELED
1 Bth, newly remodeled on nice lot, fenced yard with storage shed. 5 miles West from MSSU. $35,000 or partial trade for ...>MORE
BY OWNER REMODELED
3 BR 1 1/2 BA 1500 sq feet, great kitchen, will consider owner financing, $73,500. (417)850-9511...>MORE

85 ACRES
Webb City schools, utilities, will divide/finance, $5500/acre. (417)673-0111...>MORE

BUILDING SITES, 3-4 ACRE LOTS
BUILDING SITES, 3-4 ACRE LOTS, Diamond School, rural water, New Mac electric, some restrictions, no mobile homes. West o...>MORE

BY OWNER 3 BR, 2 BA
BY OWNER 3 BR, 2 BA with 2nd bonus home, $114,900. Owner finance? $695/month 823 S Jackson. 417-619-6095...>MORE

LEASE TO OWN
remodeled 2 BR good location near park and mall, garage, $1000 down, $500/month $55,000. 850-9511...>MORE

See all ads

Other Cool Stuff

LOST BEAGLE
lost BEAGLE, female, 9 months old, white/lemon color, lost near Schifferdecker Park, REWARD. (417)499-9294...>MORE

GLOCK .40 WITH 3 MAGS
GLOCK .40 with 3 mags and original case, Chinese SKS with soft case and 400 rounds, $455. 417-438-8787...>MORE

PUG, FEMALE
PUG, female, 10 months old, AKC registered, $200. 417-658-9600...>MORE

HIMALAYAN, CFA
Himalayan, CFA, blue point and flame point kittens, 7 weeks, $150; seal point Siamese/Himalayan kittens, $100. Call 417-...>MORE

10X10 CANOPY WITH SIDE CURTAINS
10x10 canopy with side curtains, $50; Weider heavy-duty weight machine set, $50; bumper pool table with extra game, din...>MORE

CASE BACKHOE/LOADER
Case SBD, backhoe/loader, $850, Steele 66 Magnum chainsaw, $650. 437-1914...>MORE

PERSIAN KITTEN
Persian KITTEN, registered, 10 weeks old, shaded golden tabby, adorable, great personality, $160. 620-795-4721 or 620-42...>MORE

ELECTRICAL BUSINESS CLOSING
ELECTRICAL BUSINESS CLOSING, Selling ladders, electrical supplies and tools; temporary services, copper wire, conduit, a...>MORE

AIR CONDITIONERS
Air conditioners 5,200 Btu just $45.99; 6,000 Btu just $58.99; 8,000 Btu just $74.99; 7,000 Btu portable $89.99; 9,000 B...>MORE

GX1200 H CRATE AND SUBWOOFERS
Dean Flying V guitar, with 1 compressor/1 phaser; $525. (417)359-5868 after 4:30 p.m...>MORE

See all ads
SW2d 612 (Mo.App. 1994) (failure to file timely responses to request for admissions admits all requests, and thus all matters are conclusively established and bind the party to whom requests were addressed); Lee v. Oshard, 847 SW2d 99 (Mo. App 1992) (admissions resulting from failure to respond can be used in a motion for summary judgment on the merits); and ThF Financial, LLC v. Stone, 213 SW3d 231 (Mo.App. 2007) (a party who fails to respond timely to requests for admissions admits all matters, and no further sanction is necessary or available to the proponent, who instead can use the admissions in dispositive motions or at trial).

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Plaintiff, The Village of Arrow Rock, is a State Historic site which was designated a National Historic Landmark in 1964, and the DNR has acknowledged the historical importance of The Village of Arrow Rock. The Village of Arrow Rock is home to a number of historic buildings. In near proximity to The Village of Arrow Rock is the Sappington Cemetery State Historic Site. (Request 16.)

On January 5, 2007, Dennis Geisling (“Geisling”) submitted to the DNR a Form F Application for Approval of Permit for Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (the “Geisling Application”) seeking a permit for the construction of a 4,800 head deep-pit, wean-to-finish Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (“CAFO”). (Request 66.) It is proposed that the Geisling CAFO is to be located within two miles of the Village of Arrow Rock and the Sappington Cemetery State Historic Site. (Request 66.)

The National Trust has been concerned about the impact on this Nation’s historic sites and rural heritage by CAFOs. (Request 67.) The National Trust issued a position paper outlining the adverse effects on historic sites caused by CAFOs. (Request 68.) The policy statement by the National Trust states that:
In Missouri, proposed factory farms threaten four historic sites and state parks, including the National Historic Landmark town of Arrow Rock (one of the National Trust's Dozen Distinctive Destinations in 2006), the Battle of Abilene State Historic Site, Re-enactment City Park, and Springfield Cemetery State Historic Site. (Request 76.)

On January 11, 2007, the National Trust filed with DNR a protest and opposition to the proposed Gasiling Application. (Request 71.) In its opposition to the Gasiling Application, the National Trust stated as follows:

We are concerned that approval of the aforementioned CAFO in this location would have an adverse effect on the historically significant town of Arrow Rock, listed as a national historic landmark by the U.S. Department of the Interior for its significance in the history of westward expansion. National Historic Landmark designation is an honor given only to those places which possess exceptional value or quality in illustrating or interpreting the heritage of the United States. Like all national historic landmarks, Arrow Rock is an essential and irreplaceable building block of America's shared cultural identity that should be safeguarded for future generations. We are concerned that the effects of the proposed CAFO on air and water quality will be detrimental to the town of Arrow Rock, as well as to the nearby State Historic Sites and National Register-listed Prairie Park.

In 2006, the National Trust honored the town of Arrow Rock by designating it one of our “Dozen Distinctive Destinations,” the first time a Missouri community had been chosen for the award. (Request 72.)

A group of scientists selected by the United Nations and the World Health Organization have prepared an over 400-page report expressing their concern with the adverse impact that CAFOs and related operations are having on the health of people and the environment. (Request 74.) The DNR and Director Childers approved the Gasiling Application and issued a permit for the construction of Gasiling's proposed CAFO. (Request 75.) If the Gasiling CAFO is constructed and placed in operation as planned, this will damage and destroy an irreplaceable part of the historical heritage of this Nation and this State: the Village of Arrow Rock and surrounding historic sites. (Request 77.)
The Gessling Application calculates the total annual waste volume from both barns combined equal to 279,663 cubic feet of hog manure per year, which is over 2,091,879 gallons of hog manure annually. (Request 91.) The waste that the Gessling Application calculates will be produced each year is more waste than produced in a major city. (Request 92.)

These airborne pollutants generated annually by the over 2,091,879 gallons of hog waste from the Gessling CAFO facility and spread on fields near Arrow Rock will contain numerous dangerous and harmful components including ammonia, hydrogen sulfide, bioaerosols, including bacteria, antigens, glucons, and endotoxins, particles such as grain dust, dust mites, animal dander, pollen grains, mold and fungal spores, and dry fecal matter, and volatile organic compounds. (Request 95.) The airborne pollutants generated annually by the over 2,091,879 gallons of hog waste from the Gessling CAFO facility and spread on fields near Arrow Rock will destroy and decimate historic Arrow Rock and the other numerous landmarks and historic sites listed on the National Register of Historic Places and Federally protected parks and sites in the surrounding area. (Request 101.)

The Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") has determined that nearly three-fourths of the ammonia air pollution in the United States can be attributed to animal agricultural operations such as the proposed Gessling CAFO. (Request 110.) This determination by the EPA is consistent with the finding by the United Nations and World Health report. (Request 111.)

CAFO emissions also contain significant amounts of hydrogen sulfide, which are both an irritant and an asphyxiant. (Request 112.) U.S. Public Health Service studies show that exposure to hydrogen sulfide, even in small quantities, can cause adverse health effects including shortness of breath, eye irritation, nausea, and loss of sleep. (Request 113.) Chronic community exposure to hydrogen sulfide has been linked to asthma, bronchitis, and nasal and eye irritation.
(Request 114.) CAFO operations emit endotoxins which are detrimental with regard to airway function and asthma. (Requests 117 and 118.) Studies of exposure to the foregoing components of CAFO emissions show that residents living in proximity to CAFO operations report an increased likelihood for respiratory and gastrointestinal problems and mucous membrane irritation. (Request 123.) Studies of exposure to the foregoing components of CAFO emissions show that children attending elementary schools near CAFOs are two and a half times more likely to develop asthma than children attending schools not in proximity to CAFOs. (Request 124.) Studies of exposure to the foregoing components of CAFO emissions show that persons living within the area near CAFOs frequently suffer eye, nose and throat irritation, headache, nausea, diarrhea, hoarseness, sore throat, cough, chest tightness, nasal congestion, heart palpitations, shortness of breath, and dizziness. (Request 125.)

The DNR has approved the Geeling Application; and if the DNR should allow the construction of the Geeling CAFO to proceed and then allow the Geeling CAFO to operate, the Geeling CAFO will generate noxious fumes and stench (Request 128) and these noxious fumes and stench will cripple the tourist industry in the Village of Arrow Rock area and will desolate the historic Village of Arrow Rock, several State Historic Sites, acres of State Park land, structures on the National Register and other historic structures near the Village of Arrow Rock. (Request 129.)

If the Geeling CAFO facility is constructed and allowed to operate where it is proposed, then that CAFO facility will cause irreparable damage to the Village of Arrow Rock, the Sappington Cemetery Historic Site and the entire historic community in this area. (Request 131.)

Millions of dollars of Federal, State and private funds have been invested in the Village of Arrow Rock, in the State Visitors Center, in the Lyceum Theater Complex, and in the
restoration and maintenance of other historic State properties and park structures; the restoration of several Friends of Arrow Rock properties; and the restoration and construction of many private buildings through direct grants, Neighborhood Assistance Program grants, and private and foundation funding. (Request 132.)

If the DNR and Director Childers allow the construction and operation of the Gessling CAFO where planned, then all these funds and this restoration will be lost. (Request 133.)

No amount of money can adequately replace or compensate Plaintiffs, the citizens of the Village of Arrow Rock or the citizens of the State at large for the damage the Gessling CAFO will cause to these historical treasures. (Request 134.)

The DNR conducted no tests and did no studies and had no one conduct any tests or run any studies to determine if there would be any airborne pollutants or odors emitted from the Gessling CAFO if the Gessling CAFO is constructed and placed into operation. (Request 145.)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Any findings of fact stated hereinabove that is more appropriately deemed to be a conclusion of law shall be deemed to be a Conclusion of Law.

It is the constitutional duty of the State of Missouri and the statutory obligation of DNR, on behalf of the State to protect the historic sites in the State of Missouri. (Request 23.) DNR is statutorily vested with the responsibility to care for, protect and preserve the Missouri State Parks, State Historic sites and landmarks on the National Register. (Request 25.) DNR is also responsible for the preservation and administration of Missouri State Parks and State Historical Sites. (Request 26.)

The director of the DNR is statutorily designated as the State Historic Preservation Officer and he is responsible for establishing, implementing and administrating federal and state
programs or plans for historic preservation. (Request 28.) The director of DNR has a duty to prepare and implement comprehensive statewide historic preservation plans and to administer the state program of Federal assistance for historic preservation within the State. (Request 31 and 32.) Additionally, the Director of DNR is required to "advise and assist...state agencies in carrying out their historic preservation responsibilities and cooperate with...state agencies...to ensure that historic properties are taken into consideration at all levels of planning and development." 253,408.2(c)(8) and (9)

Section 253.035 RSMo requires the DNR to establish "regulations necessary for the proper maintenance, improvement, acquisition and preservation of all state parks." (Request 39.) DNR has promulgated 10 CSR 90-1.010, which establishes the Division of Parks, Recreation, and Historic Preservation ("Division of Parks") and describes the Division of Parks' role as follows:

The activities of the division consist of making various state owned facilities accessible to all segments of today's society including the youth, handicapped, senior citizens and the disadvantaged through a systematic program which will permit the division to acquire, protect, develop and interpret for the inspiration, use and enjoyment of the people of the State a well balanced system of areas of outstanding scenic, recreational and historic significance. (Request 40.)

The DNR is responsible for the administration of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, 16 USC § 470, et seq., pursuant to § 253.022 RSMo. (Request 42.) DNR is statutorily obligated by § 253.022(2)(b) RSMo to expend any monies appropriated to the DNR from The National Historic Preservation Fund "for the purpose of assuring preservation and protection of sites listed on the National Register, with private citizens, societies, associations, corporations, municipalities and state and federal agencies." (Request 47.)

The DNR and Director Childers have assumed responsibility for preventing the loss or alteration of historic properties significant to the Nation's heritage, especially as it relates to
National Historic Landmarks. See 16 USC § 470(b). (Request 49.) The DNR and Director Childers, as the State Historic Preservation Officer, have a duty to protect National Historic Landmarks “to the maximum extent possible”. (Request 55.)

The State Legislature has promulgated legislation establishing an endowment that the DNR can use only for “the preparation of museum exhibits, acquisition of artifacts, publication of information, payment of fees for exhibits or lectures, or other similar interpretive needs at Arrow Rock state historic site and for no other purpose.” (Request 56.) The endowment is known as the Arrow Rock State Historic Site Endowment Fund. (Request 57.) The DNR must perform certain functions for the preservation of Arrow Rock specifically pursuant to the Arrow Rock State Historic Site Endowment Fund. (Request 58.)

A group of scientists selected by the United Nations and the World Health Organization have prepared an over 400-page report expressing their concern with the adverse impact that CAFOs and related operations are having on the health of people and on the environment. (Request 74.) The DNR and Director Childers approved the Gusling Application and issued a permit for the construction of Gusling’s proposed CAFO. (Request 75.)

The DNR and Director Childers, by approving the Gusling Application and issuing a permit for the construction of Gusling’s proposed CAFO, are in direct violation of the State’s obligation under Article 1, Sections 2 and 10 of the Missouri Constitution and the statutory obligation of the DNR and Director Childers. (Request 76.) The DNR and Director Childers have failed to advise and assist its own agency in carrying out its historic preservation responsibilities as it applies to the permit issued to Mr. Gusling as well as the responsibility to ensure that historic properties are taken into consideration at all levels of planning and development.
If the Gosling CAFO is constructed and placed in operation as planned, this will deny the citizens of the Village of Arrow Rock, the residents living in and around the Village of Arrow Rock and visitors to the Arrow Rock area their Constitutional Rights by exposing them to countless harmful health threats. (Requests 77 and 78.)

The DNR and Director Childers are legally and dutifully bound to protect and preserve the historic Village of Arrow Rock, several State Historic Sites, acres of State Park land, structures on the National Register and other historic structures near the Village of Arrow Rock. (Request 130.) If the Gosling CAFO facility is placed and allowed to operate where it is proposed, then that CAFO facility will cause irreparable damage to the Village of Arrow Rock, the Sappington Cemetery Historic Site and the entire historic community in this area. (Request 131.)

Millions of dollars of Federal, State and private funds have been invested in the Village of Arrow Rock, in the State Visitors Center, in the Lyceum Theater Complex, and in the restoration and maintenance of other historic State properties and park structures; the restoration of several Friends of Arrow Rock properties; and the restoration and construction of many private buildings through direct grants, Neighborhood Assistance Program grants, and private foundation funding. (Request 132.)

If the DNR and Director Childers allow the construction and operation of the Gosling CAFO where planned, then all these funds and restoration will be lost and the DNR and Director Childers will have abrogated their duty and obligations to preserve and protect historic sites in the State, with the resultant, irreparable harm to historic sites and residents of the State of Missouri. (Request 133.)
No amount of money can adequately replace or compensate Plaintiffs, the citizens of the Village of Arrow Rock or the citizens of the State at large for the damage the Gestling CAFO will cause to these historical treasures, leaving the Plaintiffs with no adequate remedy at law. (Request 134.) This action for a Writ of Mandamus and declaratory judgment are the only remedies available to Plaintiffs to prevent this irreparable damage. (Request 135.)

The Administrative Hearing Commission of the State of Missouri previously entered a Stay Order commanding that construction not proceed on a large chicken operation near the Roaring River State Park. (Request 137.) The DNR failed and refused to honor or enforce the Stay Order issued by the Administrative Hearing Commission and a large chicken operation was allowed to be constructed and operate at Roaring River. (Request 138.) That chicken operation threatens the spring in Roaring River, the historic sites and the visitor facilities in that area. (Request 139.) The Administrative Hearing Commission did not enforce its Stay Order that it issued as to the large chicken operation near the Roaring River State Park and the Administrative Hearing Commission does not have the ability or the authority to enforce its Stay Orders. (Request 140.)

An appeal has been filed with the Administrative Hearing Commission from the DNR granting the construction permit to Gestling to construct the two barns and CAFO operation sought by Gestling. (Request 136.) Even if the appeal is sustained by the Administrative Hearing Commission, the Plaintiffs are without an adequate remedy since the DNR has not within the past five years enforced, complied with or honored any Stay Order rendered by the Administrative Hearing Commission. (Request 141.) The DNR within the last five years has not enforced any Stay Order rendered by the Administrative Hearing Commission. (Request 142.)
The DNR within the last five years has not complied with any Stay Order rendered by the Administrative Hearing Commission. (Request 143.) The DNR within the last five years has not honored any Stay Order rendered by the Administrative Hearing Commission. (Request 144.)

In view of this failure of DNR to enforce or honor any Orders of this Administrative Hearing Committee such an appeal will not protect the interests of the Plaintiffs and will not prevent the DNR from continuing to fail to perform its constitutional and statutory obligations to preserve and protect the Village of Arrow Rock and the nearby State Historic Sites and National Register-listed Prairie Park.

Any conclusion of law stated hereinabove that is more appropriately deemed a finding of fact shall be so deemed.

CONCLUSION

After reviewing the pleadings and considering the arguments of counsel, the Court hereby enters judgment in favor of the Plaintiffs and against the Defendant, Missouri Department of Natural Resources, on all claims set forth in the Petition declaring (a) that it is the constitutional duty of the State of Missouri and the statutory obligation of the DNR and its Director to preserve and protect the Missouri State Parks, State Historical Sites and Landmarks on the National Register in the State of Missouri; (b) that a Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation, including the Gathing Application may not be allowed within a distance of at least a fifteen (15) mile radius of the Village of Arrow Rock, nearby State Historic Sites and National Register-listed Prairie Park in the State of Missouri and that no Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation can transport, spread or otherwise deposit or dispose of any waste from its operation within a fifteen (15) mile radius from the Village of Arrow Rock, nearby State Historic Sites and
National-listed Prairie Park in the State of Missouri as these operations and activities pose a threat to these State Parks, Historical Sites and Landmarks in the State of Missouri; (c) that the DNR must revoke the Geisinger Application permit because such approval of that Application will deny the local citizenry their constitutional due process rights to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness by exposing them to odors and volatile and dangerous airborne pollutants that will threaten the health and welfare of citizens and others in and around the Arrow Rock area; (d) that failure to revoke the permit would be an avoidance and an abrogation by the DNR and its Director of their respective duties and obligations assigned to the DNR and its Director who, as the State Historic Preservation Officer, is statutorily bound to protect and preserve State Parks and State Historic Sites generally, as the Geisinger CAFO will generate odors and volatile and dangerous airborne pollutants that will deplete and destroy the historic Arrow Rock region, several State Historic Sites, acres of State Park land, structures on the National Register and other historic structures, and cripple the tourist industry; and (e) that a Writ of Mandamus is hereby issued commanding DNR to revoke the Geisinger Permit and prohibiting DNR from issuing any such permits to Geisinger or anyone else to construct or operate a Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation within a distance of at least fifteen (15) mile radius from the Village of Arrow Rock, as well as the nearby State Historic Sites and National Register-listed Prairie Park in the State of Missouri since such operation might expose or cause to be exposed that State Parks, Historical Sites and Landmarks in the State of Missouri to odors and volatile and dangerous airborne pollutants that might be emitted from a Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation and that no waste from Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation can be transported, spread or otherwise deposited or disposed of within a fifteen (15) mile radius from any the
Village of Arrow Rock, nearby State Historic Sites and National Register-listed Prairie Park in the State of Missouri.

This Court further recognizes that the distance that a Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation must be located from a State Park, Historic Site or Landmark in the State of Missouri in order to preserve and protect them from the odors and volatile and dangerous airborne pollutants that might be emitted from such a Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation depends on many factors, including the number of animals located at such a Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation. The Court thus recognizes that based on these many factors, there might be instances that a Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation which is located beyond fifteen (15) miles from the Village of Arrow, nearby State Historic Sites and National Register-listed Prairie Park might cause odors and volatile and dangerous airborne pollutants to be emitted which might threaten such State Park, Historic Site or Landmark and since there is no adequate remedy to prevent such a construction or operation of a Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation except to this Court, this Court shall maintain jurisdiction over any such application for a permit to construct or operate or both a Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation in order to consider the issuance of such a permit and to hear any objections to the issuance of such a permit.

The protection and preservation of the State Parks, Historic Sites and Landmarks in the State of Missouri are the absolute essential duty of the DNR and its Director to be certain that dangerous airborne pollutants and odor from Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations do not reach or threaten to reach the Village of Arrow Rock, the nearby State Historic Sites and National Register-listed Prairie Park State Parks. If dangerous pollutants or odor or both from Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations reach or threaten to reach these areas and since DNR and its Director have a history of failing to honor and enforce Stay Orders issued by the
Administration Hearing Commission as to such situations, then this Court shall remain
jurisdiction of this matter to ensure that the DNR and its Director take immediate steps to shut
down and such offending Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations until positive, adequate steps
are taken that ensure such will not occur or recur.

DATED: 9-25-01

Judge Patricia S. Joyce
19th Judicial Circuit Cour